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A b s t r a c t

Background: In Nepal several research studies are reported using percentages or cross tabulation method, but the relevance of logistic regression methodology in research is lag behind among the researchers.

Objectives: The main objective of this study was to find the role of logistic regression analysis in the pattern of antidepressants in a tertiary care center in hospitalized patients of Western Nepal.

Methods: A hospital based study was done between 1st October 2009 and 31st March 2010 at Psychiatry Ward of Manipal Teaching Hospital, Nepal. Z test, Chi square test and Binary logistic regression were used for the analysis. We calculated odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Different codes were used, the target was coded as 1 and the reference group as 0.

Results: The results of the study were interesting as far as the gender is concerned, male and female patients were 44.7% and 55.3% respectively, 95% CI [28.9, 60.5 and 39.5, 71.1]. Depression was more common in housewives 42.1%. Fluoxetine was the commonest antidepressants to be prescribed 42.1%. Psychiatrists has a tendency of prescribing essential drugs if monthly income <10000, 1.063 times as compared to monthly income >10000, 2.63 times more in Hindus and 1.197 times more in Brahmins than any other ethnic groups. 9.179 times more tendency of prescribing antidepressants by trade names in case of unemployed patients as compared to employed patients in Nepal.

Conclusion: Binary Logistic regression plays an important role to understand the drug utilization pattern of mood elevators in Western Nepal.
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Background

It is estimated that unipolar major depression would be the second most leading cause of infirmity after ischemic heart disease in the world by 2020 as compared to the year 1990 it was the fourth leading cause of disability worldwide according to WHO, [1]. A research done in 2010 by Ball et al, in Srilanka, life time ever depression was reported 6.6% [2]. In major depression, a patient’s mood is expressed as depressed, sad, hopeless, and discouraged. The clinical features of major depression are characterized by alteration of appetite or reduction or increase of weight, sleeplessness or hypersomnia, reduced energy, feelings of guilt, inconspicuousness of thoughts, suicidal thoughts, plans or attempts continues for most of the
day, every day, for at least two weeks [3]. Several research studies are reported using percentages or cross tabulation method because of the unawareness of the relevance of logistic regression methodology among the researchers. The main objective of the study was to find out the role of logistic regression analysis in the prescription pattern of antidepressants.

Material and Methods:
Study design and the participants: A hospital based observational study was done at Manipal Teaching Hospital, Pokhara, Nepal.

Data collection:
The study was carried out between 1st October 2009 and 31st March 2010, at Manipal Teaching Hospital in the Psychiatric ward. The quantitative data consisted of Socio demographic details like age (<40 years and >40 years), sex (male and female), occupation (Housewife, teacher, laborer, shopkeeper, student, farmer, retired and others), religion (Hindu, Buddhist, Muslim), ethnicity (Brahmin, Chettri, Newar, Dalit and others), employment (employed and unemployed), monthly Income (<10000/month and >10000/month), treatment (Drug monotherapy and drug and psychotherapy), based on essential drug list (essential or non essential), trade/generic, Commonest groups of antidepressants, commonest drug were used were collected.

Coding
In binary logistic regression, the outcome is coded as 0 and 1. The target is coded as 1 and the reference group as 0. The codes were used as follows < 40 yrs. 1, unemployed patients 1, female 1, Monthly income < 10000 NPR/month, Religion others 1, Occupation others 1 and ethnicity others 1.

Inclusion criteria:
All the patients admitted in psychiatric ward with major depression from 1st October 2009 to 31st March 2010 were included in the study. 38 cases who were seriously ill with severe form of major depression were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria:
Out of 240 cases who were admitted in the Psychiatry inpatients 202 cases were excluded from the study because we wanted to find the prescription pattern of antidepressants only.

Sample size calculation: For 95% confidence interval and, significance level $\alpha = 5\%$, $P = 90\%$, $Q = 10\%$, allowable error = 11%, required sample size was 35. $P =$ percentage of antidepressants drugs used for the treatment of depression. In the pilot study done prior to the original study with 10 patients were admitted in the psychiatry ward with depression [4].

Outcome Variable:
Essential drug list of Nepal, generic and trade, treatment (Drug therapy and psychotherapy), groups of antidepressants (newer and typical antidepressants), antidepressants (Fluoxetine, Amitriptyline, Imipramine, Trazodone, Bupropion).

Explanatory variables:
The demographic and psychiatric disorders were defined at individual level. Factors at individual level were Age, gender, monthly income, employment of the patient.

Data management and statistical analysis:
Data was analyzed by using Excel 2003, R 2.8.0, SPSS for Windows Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc; Chicago, IL, USA) and EPI Info 3.5.1 Windows Version. The Z test was used to observe the difference between different variables and strength of the relationship with logistic regression. $p < 0.05$ is considered as statistically significant. We calculated odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). $p < 0.05$ is considered as statistically significant[5,6].

Results
Among the 240 psychiatric patients studied, 38 (15.8%) cases were suffering from major depression. Male and female patients were 44.7% and 55.3% respectively, 95% CI [28.9, 60.5 and 39.5, 71.1]. Depression was more common in housewives 42.1% followed by teachers 18.4% and students 10.5%. Fluoxetine was the commonest antidepressants to be prescribed 42.1%. Psychiatrists has a tendency of prescribing essential drugs if monthly income <10000, 1.063 times as compared to monthly income >10000, 2.63 times more in Hindus and 1.197 times more in Brahmins than any other ethnic groups. 9.179 times more tendency of prescribing antidepressants by trade names in case of unemployed patients as compared to employed patients in Nepal. Out of 240 cases admitted to the psychiatry ward 130 were male (54.2%), 95% CI [47.9, 60.5] and others were female patients. Most common psychiatric disorder was Schizophrenia 36.3% followed by Depression and anxiety disorders 15.8% respectively (Table 1).
Discussion

Sociodemographic factors and Depression

Gender

In our study it was found that the female patients suffer more from depression than male patient. This finding is similar to a study done in Australia by Mant A et al which has showed that Psychiatric illness was more common in female patients [7]. Similar findings were also found in a study done by Hector M Gonzalez et al. on the use antidepressants among Asians in US in 2010 reveals that Depression is more prevalent in females than in male[8].This could be due to the fact that females has more stressful life events as compared to males.

Occupation

As far as the occupation was concerned depression was more common in house wife as compared to any other occupation of the patients. It could be due to the fact of stressful life events and the effects of child birth in the housewife can lead to various psychiatric disorders among them [9]. Other reasons could be due to broken families, living apart from their husband or husband residing in foreign countries for employment. Similar studies has also reported that Psychiatric disorders are more commonly seen in female patients [10 -12].

Prescription pattern of Antidepressants

In case of antidepressants, most of the cases typical antidepressants were used 76.3%, newer antidepressants were used only in 23.7% of cases. Among the typical antidepressants Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI’s) like Fluoxetine were used in 42.1% of the cases. Tricyclic antidepressants (TCA) like amitriptyline were used in 26.3% followed by Imipramine 7.9%. As far as newer antidepressants are concerned Trazodone were used in 21.1% and Bupropion were used in 2.6% of the cases of depression. So it was seen that psychiatrists had trend of prescribing typical antidepressants like serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI’s). This finding is dissimilar to a finding done by Raut in India which has found that among the antidepressants Tricyclic antidepressants were commonly used 54% followed by Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors were only 36%[13].

Our findings are similar to a study done on drug utilization of antidepressants in UK done from 1st January 1992 to 31st December 2001 in children and adolescents which showed that a total of 24976 patients received antidepressants. Among the antidepressants Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI’s) Fluoxetine 9.89%, was the commonest drug used. Among the antidepressants Tricyclic antidepressants (TCA) Amitriptyline 5.72% followed by Imipramine 2.08% and Nortriptilline 0.52%. Among the newer antidepressants Trazodone 4.68% was used. Out of which 55.7% received TCA followed by SSRI’s 41.3% and other antidepressants 2.9%. But the prevalence of the use of TCA has decreased by30%, whereas the use of SSRI’s has increased by 10 folds in the last ten years [14].

Conclusion

Binary Logistic regression plays an important role to understand the prescription pattern of antidepressants in Western Nepal.
Abbreviations
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