External disturbances and institutional response in management of small-scale irrigation systems in Pakistan and Nepal

Muhammad Asif Kamran¹, Ram Chandra Bastakoti¹

ABSTRACT

Irrigation systems operate under the environment of pressure from several external and contextual factors. The small-scale community irrigation systems are exposed to the risks from fluctuating natural events such as increasing floods unpredictability due to irregular rainfall patterns; droughts and degradation of river beds and soil erosion. In such context, this paper looks on the institutional change and resulting water rights and operational rules-in-use in the small-scale community-managed irrigation systems in Pakistan and Nepal. The findings show that farmer-managed irrigation systems (FMIS) have been better able to cope with such external disturbances by following the local irrigation customs and collective action. In contrary, the agency-managed irrigation systems (AMIS) working under strong bureaucratic control and fixed rules are facing serious threats. The paper brings analysis of policy change and impacts of such changes on entities (Resources, Resource users, Public Infrastructure and Public Infrastructure providers) in Social Ecological Systems (SESs). The study draws conclusion on how the two different management regimes governing irrigation systems leads to different operational rules-in-use and management outcomes; and their ability to cope with the external disturbances.

Keywords: External disturbances, institutional change, water rights, small scale irrigation, Nepal, Pakistan.

1. INTRODUCTION

Irrigation systems operate under the environment of pressure from several external and contextual factors. As a social-ecological system (SES), an irrigation system faces everincreasing scale of influence of human activity. Specially, the indigenous irrigation systems are facing new threats because of openness to the new world, commercial interests of farmers, rise in cost of maintenance, increased competition of water and weakened social cohesion due to reasons including state interventions (Barker and Molle 2005; Lam 2001; Shivakoti et al. 2005). At the same time the climatic variations also pose threats to the small-scale irrigation systems.

It has been observed that irrigation systems are directly affected by a variety of disturbances like policy changes, market pressures and the changes in the biophysical context where it operates (Bastakoti et al. 2010). The social-ecological system, irrigation

¹ Asian Institute of Technology; PO Box 4 Klong Luang Pathumthani 12120, Thailand. (Correspondence Email: <u>agriecon.niab@gmail.com</u>)

systems in our case, is a complex collection of human, physical and institutional entities that respond to internal and external disturbances through a diverse array of rules in different conditions (Shivakoti and Bastakoti 2006; Kamran and Shivakoti 2010). The nature of resource (mobile or stationary) responds differently to predictable and unpredictable disturbances (Janssen et al 2003). The CPR theorists consider lack of storage and non-stationary character of a resource, spate irrigation in our case, as major obstacle for collective action (Ostrom et al 1994). The strong connections of SES with large scale phenomenon pose challenges and opportunities for the stakeholders. Literature based on past performance of resource systems shows that many long endured SES have successfully adapted their institutions to these disturbance regimes (Ostrom 1990; Agrawal 1999; Shivakoti and Bastakoti 2006), while others collapsed (Baker 2005).

In this paper we focus on irrigation systems from Nepal and Pakistan. The cases in this study have also endured to known shocks of regular climate variability and floods with varying degree of success in different management regimes and resource uncertainty, and are now exposed to climate related shocks and disturbances at a pace never experienced in human history (Thornton et al. 2006). This paper looks into adaptive capacity of the irrigation systems with special focus indigenous irrigation systems, to cope with the past shocks in the form of appropriate institutions to manage external shocks (rainfall patterns, floods, droughts etc.) and the system characteristics (run off rivers diverted through indigenous structures); and to estimate chances of success to adapt to such changes. We focused our analysis on major threats and possible panaceas considering the across four first level core components of an SES *viz* resource system; governance system; resource units; resource users individually and the interaction that affect effect each other and related ecosystems (Anderies et al. 2004; Ostrom 2009).

The study is specifically focused on answering how AMIS and FMIS reflect varying degree of robustness through institutional responses to external changes in the form of flexible rules formation and adopting various coping strategies at different level.

2. METHODOLGY

2.1 Analytical framework for linked SES

We adopted the framework proposed by Anderies et al. (2004) that provides guideline to analyze core entities of the SES and understand interactions between them. The framework focuses on four entities that are mostly involved in CPRs harvested by people (Figure 1a). The two entities in the framework namely, 'resource users' and 'public infrastructure providers' involve humans. Other two entities namely 'resource' and 'public infrastructure' involve physical and institutional aspects. The public infrastructure consists human-made physical and institutional capital (Ostrom and Ahn 2003; Janssen et al. 2003). The 'resource' entity represents biophysical system used by 'resource users' through joint provision effort of the two human based entities in framework that is 'public infrastructure' and 'public infrastructure providers'. The internal

fluctuations can result from changes in relationships between resource users and infrastructure providers and can affect various components and linkages in the framework. The arrows 7 and 8 represent the external disturbances to the ecological and social components of the SES. Other numbered arrows show the linkages and interaction between different components.

In FMIS (mostly hilly areas perennial and non-perennial systems in our case study), the resource users and public infrastructure providers are the same (Fig 1b), and the factors that affect one entity also in turn affect the other (if provision of public infrastructure is affected by some factor, the users are also affected by it). While in case of AMIS in our study, the human entities of the framework involve different actors, that is, the public infrastructure providers are mainly the state departments and officials thus affecting the infrastructure. The local communities have limited influence compared to the community-managed systems (Fig 1b).

In this paper we focus on how internal and external shocks differently impact the core entities of the framework and their interactions in irrigation systems under different management regimes, and variation in resource uncertainty.





Figure 1 (a) A simple framework that highlights the main components of SES and their linkages (Anderies et al 2004), (b) Modified framework for the irrigation systems

2.2 Sample and data collection

This paper is based on the extensive survey of irrigation systems from Nepal and Pakistan. Primary information was collected from the sampled irrigation systems. In addition secondary information was collected from policy documents, official reports and published literature.

In Nepal the irrigation systems were selected based on three criteria – ecological region, economic characteristics and management structure – following a series of steps. In the first step we selected major river basins across all regions of the country. In the next we focused on physical terrain: plains or hills (including undulating terrain and upland valleys). In the final step irrigation systems were selected from different strata (management structure and economic characteristics) within the identified clusters. The management structure considered were: farmer-managed; agency-managed, jointly managed and management transferred systems. A sample of 50 irrigation systems was selected covering major river basins of the country and different ecological regions. The majority of the sampled irrigation systems are farmer-managed systems.

In Pakistan we focused the study exclusively on the Spate irrigation systems only. Spate irrigation (the case study from Pakistan) systems are predominantly community managed. But variation in management ranges from completely community-managed to state-managed systems as well as semi-government type and co-managed systems around the world. In our case, we have taken traditional community-managed systems found in tribal rule (without any intervention from state or NGOs in irrigation management and limited role of state apparatus in other community affairs) and state owned and managed systems (where state intervention started in British time mainly to cope tribal strength through key resource, and continued to date through changes in laws and through interventions by constructing permanent structures, involving courts and police, and appointing officials for monitoring and sanctioning). The irrigation systems (without subsequent springs after spate flow) and perennial spate (with subsequent springs after spate flow) each from community and state management. Following these criteria we selected 4 irrigation systems representing all type of resource system and governance system.

2.3 Irrigation typology and analysis

We grouped the sampled irrigation systems considering the resource condition and institutional characteristics. The resource condition refers to the availability of the water in the source. It includes perennial (with permanent water source) and non-perennial systems (with temporary water source mainly during the monsoon season). The institutional characteristic refers to the management condition or structure of the irrigation system. It includes FMIS (irrigation systems initiated and managed by farmers) ad AMIS (irrigation systems initiated and managed by the agencies). We made simple 2x2 matrix, the subsequent analysis in the paper refer to this matrix. The salient features of the irrigation systems in each type are presented in Table 1.

Irrigation typology	Perennial	Non-Perennial
FMIS	Water source is the perennial stream or river. Mostly temporary headwork but some improvements in the recent periods. Normally small in size. Traditionally built by farmers themselves long time ago. Problem of frequent flash floods. Systems managed by users themselves. Informal rules and water allocation based on the needs. Water rights are well known to farmers. Sequence of irrigation determined by farmers themselves and varies across systems, such as	Water source is the seasonal streams. Mostly temporary headwork. Normally small in size. Traditionally built by the farmers long time ago. Problem of frequent flash floods. Systems managed by users themselves. Informal rules and water allocation based on the needs. Water rights defined under local customs. Sequence of irrigation determined by farmers usually on 'turn basis per farm'. Usually rural setting and subsistence farming.

Table 1	2x2 typology of irrigation systems and their salient features
---------	---

	'lottery system'; 'turn based on the location in the system' and others. Usually rural setting and subsistence farming	
AMIS	Water source is perennial stream or river. Permanent headwork and mostly lined main canals. Normally large in size. Systems built by government agency. Managed by government agency. Formal rules and water allocation mechanism. Water rights codified formally. Water allocation varies across the system; the common is fixed time slots in a pre-determined sequence. Covers rural/peri-urban setting, commercial agriculture is on rise	Water source is seasonal streams. Headwork includes diversion structure to channel the flow to the canal. Normally medium size. Systems built by government agency. Managed by government agency. Formal rules and water allocation mechanism. Water allocation varies across the systems; in many cases the codified water rights dictate head to tail sequence of irrigation. Usually rural setting and subsistence farming

3. Results

3.1 Biophysical and institutional context of irrigation management

Main features of the selected irrigation systems in Nepal are presented in Table 2. Among sampled irrigation systems 50% were from plain areas and other half in the hilly areas. The majority of these selected systems were initiated and managed by farmers themselves. Out of 50 sampled systems 41 are farmer-managed irrigation systems. The remaining nine systems were agency-initiated systems, out of which three systems are under joint management and for the other six; management at various levels is transferred to users. In further discussions, we group sampled irrigation systems into 'farmer-initiated and managed systems' as FMIS, and 'agency-initiated systems' as AMIS. Out of four irrigation systems sampled from Pakistan 2 were FMIS and 2 AMIS (Table 3).

Features	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
Age (year)	10	>200	46.0
Command area (hectare)	15	6200	501.0
Number of users (households)	28	8000	868.9
Cropping intensity at head-end (%)	130	300.0	245.5
Cropping intensity at tail-end (%)	144	300.0	238.2
Type of system	Percentage		

Table 2Main features of the sampled irrigation systems in Nepal

Run-off-the-river	98.0
Pumping (incl. groundwater)	2.0
Headwork	
Temporary	30.0
Permanent	70.0
Canal lining	
Not lined	8.0
Partially lined	88.0
Completely lined	4.0
Governance system	
FMIS	82
AMIS	18

In both countries (at least the case study systems in case of Pakistan), the traditional farmer-managed irrigation systems are predominant mostly in hill/foot-hill areas. A feature of theses irrigation systems is infrastructure made from local construction materials. Such infrastructure often needed annual repair and maintenance. However, with change in government policies, many of those traditional irrigation systems have received support to improve their infrastructure.

In Nepal, many FMIS, for example, have changed their headwork to permanent concrete structures. In Nepal most of the medium and large scale irrigation systems were built in plain Terai and valley areas. The spate irrigation systems are found in all provinces of Pakistan and are the largest indigenous irrigation systems. The case study systems are taken from the Punjab province. On the other hand, similar community systems without interference from any specialized agency, the customary rights are mutually agreed and understood and to date have not been codified in vernacular language. The low average annual rainfall (less than 200 mm per year) forced the settled tribes to dig these systems in order to make living possible in such arid environments with too poor quality aquifers and too deep water tables to extract ground water.

The systems are located in the remote areas with poorest of the poor communities inhabiting there. There is a great variation in actual cultivated area due to dependency on flows and rainfall. The cropping intensity also vary from year to year and the average of last 5 years is presented in Table 3, which was lower for non-perennial systems than perennial systems. The prominent features of the selected systems from Pakistan are presented in Table 3.

Table 3Main features of the spate irrigation systems in Pakistan

Features	Minimum	Maximum	Mean

Age (year)			>1000
*Command area (hectare)	2000	15000	5000
Number of users (households)	500	5000	2000
**Cropping intensity at head-end (%)	75	250	150
**Cropping intensity at tail-end (%)	66	150	100
Type of system	Run-off-the-river type		
Canal lining	Mostly not lined		
Governance system	FMIS and AMIS		

* There is huge year-to-year fluctuation in actual cultivated area

** The mean value of 5 years

In Nepal, there was large variation in the age of the selected irrigation systems ranging from newly constructed irrigation systems (~ 10 years of age) to the very old systems existing since more than 200 years. Whereas the spate irrigation systems of Pakistan are believed to be among one of the world's oldest irrigation systems and farming by these systems in Pakistan is as old as 300BC.

The command area of the sampled irrigation systems also varied significantly (Table 2). Some FMIS in the mid-hills of Nepal were as small as of just around 15 hectare where as the sampled system in the Terai region of Nepal had the command area of more than 6 thousands hectares. Reflecting the variation in the command area of the selected systems, the total number of users also varied greatly. The large size of the group (number of users) means more heterogeneity among the users.

The majority of the irrigation systems in Nepal and spate irrigation systems from Pakistan were of run-off-the-river type. In Nepal and Pakistan (sampled systems), irrigation systems based on gravity flow directly from the river or stream are common. However, due to flood risks in monsoon and low water levels during dry season (observed in data collection period also), this kind of system has low reliability compared to storage type.

In Nepal almost a third of systems still have temporary headwork. Especially in the hilly areas headwork is made from wood, stones and other local materials. This further reduces reliability of the irrigation system. The spate irrigation systems of Pakistan also rely on temporary structures made from locally available brushwood, trees twigs and stones.

3.2 External disturbances to the irrigation systems

The external disturbances can include biophysical distraction (Arrow 7 Figure 1), such as floods, droughts, rainfall, that impact the resource and the public infrastructure, or policy and socio-economic changes (Arrow 8 Figure 1), such as population increases, migration, market forces, that have an impact on the resource users and the public infrastructure providers.

Irrigation systems face several kinds of external disturbances, such as, natural events like floods and droughts, policy changes and market pressures. The external disturbances affect the entities, both resource and human part, of the social ecological systems in various ways. The major effects would be: affecting the infrastructure of irrigation systems and thereby water availability, expansion/contraction of irrigated command areas, increased competition for water use and resulting conflict, labor shortages and collective action, and shift in management regimes.

In this section we discuss the major natural and human induced external disturbances and how those disturbances affected the irrigation systems operation and performance. Then we relate how these external disturbances affect to the entities of the framework.

3.2.1 Natural events as external disturbances

Irrigation systems are adversely affected by climatic variability such as the recurring occurrence of droughts and floods. In the recent decades the delayed onset of monsoon, both in Nepal and Pakistan, has become common that affects the capacity of irrigation system to supply the water when there is need. The changing rainfall patterns due to the climate change have heavy influence on completely rainfall dependent spate irrigation systems of Pakistan.

The commonly observed situation is such that farmers cannot get sufficient water in their irrigation canals at the time when they need to start the cultivation practices for the priority crop. The priority crops are rice (Nepal) and wheat (Pakistan).

In case of Nepal, Rice requires some amount of water as early as in the month of May for seedbed preparation. During transplantation time June-July it requires large amount of water and thereafter the requirements varies depending on the stages of the plant. But in the recent few decades, as an impact of climate change, the start dates of rainy seasons have shifted to the late days. As most of the systems in Nepal are completely dependent on the rainfall it results into unavailability of the water at the time of transplanting and critical growth stages of the crop. In case of prolonged delay farmers cannot at all cultivate the rice as reported in some of the sampled systems.

In contrary, in some years, and some areas, the excessive rainfall, mostly in August-September, results into flash floods in the stream and often damaging the headwork of the irrigation systems. The flood also causes damages to the irrigation canals. It is common almost every year especially in the irrigation systems of foothill areas of Nepal. Besides enormous loss due to the damage of the irrigation infrastructure, it also makes water conveyance difficult in the later part of rice cultivation season. It also affects the water distribution for winter crops, mainly wheat, if it used to be available in normal years.

In case of Pakistan, Wheat is the staple food and priority winter crop for most spate farmers in Pakistan. The crop sowing is done with the help of conserved moisture in the fields. However the decisive role in crop success is on winter rains which have become

less likely in the time of germination (shift in start of winter rainfall) when sole dependence of the crop is on rainfall. As the Spate irrigation of Pakistan are completely dependent on the rainfall it results into unavailability of the water at the critical growth stages of the crops. In absence of timely winter rain, the spate farmers face crop failure and are forced to use wheat as fodder before it reach harvesting maturity or shift to some low value crop like sorghum or barley.

In case of spate irrigation, while the medium-sized floods are best suited for spate farming, the occurrence of heavy floods makes it difficult to divert the water to the fields. And in some cases, it also damages the temporary diversion structures of the spate irrigation systems. The changed frequency and intensity of rains has heavily disturbed the floods flow pattern. There are heavier and smaller flows than medium flows compared to past trends.

The climate change and the variability have adversely affected the capacity of the irrigation systems to maintain the steady supply of irrigation water. Such external disturbances have increased the vulnerability of the irrigation systems. Irrigation systems are sensitive to the changes in the infrastructure and the degree of sensitiveness significantly affects their capacity to maintain robustness.

3.2.2 Policy changes

Another major external disturbance that the irrigation systems face is the changes in related policies at different level. Such changes affect the entities of the SES in different ways especially on the public infrastructure and public infrastructure providers. The policy changes may result in the area expansion, system rehabilitation, management change and others.

In Nepal until the beginning of 20th century there was very little involvement of state in irrigation development and management. Few *raj kulos* were reported; otherwise the majority of the systems were constructed and managed by users themselves. Some public sector irrigation schemes were initiated around 1920s (Shukla and Sharma 1997; Shah and Singh 2000). Nepal focused on expanding irrigation areas after the initiation of planned development efforts during 1950s (Shah and Singh 2000). During 1970-1985 the focus shifted from the infrastructure development to production enhancement activities. It included completion of water distribution structures of already constructed irrigation systems, rehabilitation support to FMIS and other activities related to improved agricultural technology. Further, Nepal focused on improving the performance of the existing irrigation systems that included renovation and expansion of FMIS command areas, and participation of users in development and management of irrigation infrastructures, among others.

Major policy shift was observed after the formulation of new irrigation policy 1992, giving the main emphasis on users' participation. The government adopted the participatory irrigation management policy with two action plans: turn-over, or more commonly known

as Irrigation Management Transfer [IMT], of AMIS to the user groups, and joint management of large irrigation systems where users and government agencies share the responsibilities.

The changes in government policies have, over the period, affected the various entities. The major effect was on the irrigation infrastructure, the public infrastructure, mostly the increase in overall capacity to supply the irrigation water. The changes were on improvement in the capacity of the existing irrigation infrastructure, such as rehabilitation of FMIS, and the expansion of irrigated areas with construction of new irrigation infrastructure. Similarly, the policy changes have affected the composition of public infrastructure providers and thereby the service delivery mechanism (water allocation). Many agency-initiated systems, where the irrigation officials used to play the role of public infrastructure providers, are now handed over to the user groups. In the changed situation the resource users and the public infrastructure providers have become more or less the same. The new policy has improved the water delivery, the resources condition, and resulted into better outcome, the increase in agricultural production. The adoption of IMT resulted into strengthened the capacity of the Water Users' Association (WUA), the public infrastructure providers. The empowered WUAs became more capable in dealing with the external or internal disturbances to their system.

Overall, the policy changes seemed to have positive effects on the various entities of irrigation system and interactions among them. But in some cases it also resulted into conflict and lack of coordination between public infrastructure providers, the WUA and irrigation agencies. Similarly, the changes in policy meant that the resources users needed to cover the direct costs related to operation and management of their irrigation systems.

The first regular settlement of the study area in Pakistan was done in 1869-74 and fluctuating revenue based on cropped area in a year was promulgated. It was in this settlement that the irrigation customs (Riwajat-e-Abpashi) for all canals and spate systems were codified for better management of the systems. The codified customs were different across different spate systems due to variation in practices of the local communities about managing their water resources. However the colonial approach to get legal control over these community systems and generate revenue, the Minor Canal Act (1905) was promulgated. The management guidelines in the act are markedly different from what was codified in the customs documents partly because of efforts to bring all indigenous systems of the province under uniform management plan and partly because of the British intention to transfer powers from communities to the state. The riwajat documents were compiled at the district level and written in Urdu language in presence of local stakeholders and bearing signatures of command area farmers; whereas for minor canal act (1905) no such consultation was done. After the transfer of management to state governed "Rod Kohi" department; and approval of 'the Act' parallel to 'Riwajat', the authority of government officials to give a special allowance to

non-haqooq lands in the state managed systems resulted in massive violation of customary irrigation rights. Some big land owners made alliance with the low-cadre staff for illegal irrigation to non-haqooq lands. Whereas in the community managed systems the water allocation continued to be fixed to actual share holders with flexibility to shift to other lands of same shareholders with changing flood paths. The comparative analysis of "Riwajat-e-Abpashi (1864)" and "Minor Canal Act (1905)" shows that the colonial act transferred powers to state from communities in respect of Operation, Maintenance and management of spate irrigation systems and found that the act provides greater authority and decisions making powers to the state which is quite conflicting with the customary rights.

The supply side policies can have disastrous outcomes in the form of poor resource condition and fragile management institutions. For indigenous irrigation systems of Pakistan, the British policy to take control of the system was different from stated policy objective of better water management following community norms as guidelines. The policy resulted in negative outcomes in terms of overall performance of the systems kept under colonial control as compared to similar spate systems which were exempted from this policy due to political reasons (Box 3.1, case study). The policy shift changed the role of providers by altering power relationships in the existing structure. This in turn, directly affected the relationship between providers and users and from users the affect is transmitted to infrastructure and the resource itself. So policies intended to improve existing power relationships between providers and between providers and users can further strengthen infrastructure and sustainable use of the resource and vice versa.

Box3.1 Case Study of Gang Vehoa in Pakistan

The water from springs of spate flow is the main source of irrigation besides the floodwater in this system. The long established community rules were codified as "Haqooq-e-Aabpashi" (irrigation customs) in 1870s. Historically the cultivated command area of the channel was divided into three classes i.e. "Lendha jaat" (land near the settlement where vegetable and date-palm orchards were grown had prior irrigation rights); "Keeha jaat" (the land towards the tail and down to the settlement best suitable for growing crops had customary irrigation rights and custom of growing crops mentioned in the codified customs) and "Talehar jaat" (the less fertile lands towards head-end having pebbles mixed with soils due to their location towards foothill) were allowed to get irrigation for cultivation if water is surplus with collective agreement from prior rights holder tail-enders. The water sharing arrangement was customarily agreed on 2/5th of the production given to the tail-end farmers as a compensation for permission to use their surplus water in any surplus season).

The system has been working under community management with locally crafted rules until officials from revenue department were appointed in the British time. In the Minor Canal Act-1905 (promulgated to transfer management from community to state departments) the Deputy Commissioner (ex-officio) was given authority to give temporary irrigation rights where and when authority consider surplus water can bring more cultivated areas. The temporary rights, granted this way without consulting customary users, became fixed and marginal lands on head-end were brought under regular cultivation without giving any compensation to the actual water right holders. Analyzing the situation, the changed role of service providers affected the rules, which shattered the actual equity situation. This resulted in conflicts among the communities where influential upstream community with support from concerned government official got strong hold over the resource (the case went from district court to High Court level and is still subject to petitions since more than 30 years). Since the customary resource users are forcefully excluded from their right, they stopped participating in operation and maintenance at main diversion structure. With cultivation of high yielding varieties and pesticide use intensive crops like cotton and vegetables in the upstream, the drinking water quality for downstream settlement deteriorated and caused health hazards. Here are some the issues arise by policy shift in the case study perennial irrigation system:

- The customary wisdom of ensuring safe drinking water to the settlement by giving prior rights to the vegetable growing lands and dates orchards adjacent to the settlement was not understood by officials and irrigation rights were being extended to some head end lands. This resulted in inadequate and polluted drinking water for the settlement and dire shortage of water and loss of production for more equitably owned orchards and vegetable growing lands near the settlement.
- The officials' decisions to give irrigation rights to influential persons resulted in lack of trust among the community members leading towards deterioration of the system operation and maintenance mechanism.
- The head-end lands being less fertile due to stony texture compared to the tailend good quality lands have been given rights, which resulted in inefficient water use than that of customary irrigation rights.
- The obstruction of high-speed water near the headwork in order to irrigate head end lands has resulted in huge siltation of the channel and heavy water losses.
- Due to conflict over the customary rights and court cases, the development agencies like Asian Development Bank and government withdrew their proposed projects for rehabilitation of the system and its overall development.
- It is therefore that in the linked entities in SESs, any disturbance at a link can badly affect all other linked entities and can lead to deterioration of the resource and the underlying institutions.

3.2.3 Market pressure:

Market diversification and integration affect irrigation water management (FAO 2007). Market related factors influence the operation and performance of the irrigation system. Various aspects such as, commercialization of the farming activities, seasonal migration, changing pattern of water use, contract farming, have direct effect to the water use dynamics and the characteristics of the water users.

Crop intensification, multiple cropping, and increased vegetable cultivation was noted in case of many sampled irrigation systems in Nepal. Especially the vegetable cultivation requires more water in dry season when there is water shortage in general. High

comparative advantage, better market and price, however, has tempted farmers to grow the commercial crops but the water shortage means possible conflict among the users. The interdependencies between market trends, demand and price, and the farming decision also play important role in water use and thereby robustness of the irrigation system.

In the recent decades, the seasonal migration of the economically active population to the regional urban centers or the capital is on rise. This demographic transition in the farming areas has created labor shortage. Many irrigation systems we studied reported this situation. It has two implications. First it directly affects the labor availability of the household farming activities thereby forcing people to bring the hired labor. Second, farmers cannot allocate necessary time to contribute in repair and maintenance of the irrigation system. Such changes in the characteristics of the resource users due to the market associated factors ultimately result into less collective action to manage the resource and the public infrastructure. Many others have also reported the effect in resource management due to increasing market integration (Agrawal and Yadama 1997) that often result in decreasing collective action (Araral 2009).

In Pakistan, the cultivation of high delta crops (high water demanding crops like rice, sugarcane) and high yielding crop varieties resulted in increased competition for water and thereby disturbance of water balance in the systems. Where the irrigated area to available water ratio was already under pressure or in equilibrium, the increased water demand resulted in overall water shortage status of the system at water sensitive growth stages. The irrigators at the head-end of the systems or powerful farmers with ability to manipulate state apparatus in their favor benefitted from the situation at the cost of the rest of the community. The situation where effort needed to bring water was far less than the returns; favored powerful and head-end farmers to exploit the benefits. The market forces, by disruption of collective action and equity among the farmers in resource use, resulted in conflicts and further deviation from the customary rights. In the AMIS, the powers rested in hands of state departments for mediation and authority to change rules promoted weakening of the local institutions.

3.3 Internal disturbances to the irrigation systems

Internal disturbances refer to rapid reorganization of the ecological or social system induced by the subsystems of the ecological or social system (Marty et al, 2005). We discuss here commonly observed disturbances in the irrigation systems included in this study: change in internal management, change in users' characteristics and change in water appropriation.

3.3.1 Internal management change on the entities

Infrastructure providers and resource users make up human part of the framework (Figure 1). The resource users and the service providers can be the same (in FMIS

case study systems) and separate entities (AMIS in our analysis). The effects of management change depend on the underlying change process. The FMIS, with long history of democratic decision-making and close ties, have lesser chances to go into elite capture. Whereas the AMIS, with involvement of outsiders, is exposed to threat of bureaucratic trap and elites capture. However, even in case of FMIS sometimes the internal dynamics may influence the resource use pattern.

In case of Nepal, the leadership of Water Users' Association [the Executive Committee] is normally changed at the interval of 2 years. In the past, farmers used to select the committee mostly on the basis of consensus, in case of FMIS, often bringing the more experienced and committed people in the leadership. Slowly, they have adopted the voting system for selection of the leadership, mostly after political change of 1990. In general, voting system is the better system. But due to the vested interests of local politicians or local elites in some cases it has resulted into selection of not-so-competent leadership. In such cases due to the lack of proper experiences or interest to contribute in the real cause such leadership are not able to bind-together the resource users and manage the operation and maintenance of the irrigation infrastructure.

3.3.2 Change in users' characteristics

The other humanly devised component of the analytical framework is resource users. The users are affected by and influence other three entities in the framework (Figure 1). The users have multiple roles as service providers or responsible for their selection (in FMIS) and directly affected by the decisions. On the other hand users face challenge to make decisions from available choices. With declining relative value of farming compared with other non-farm jobs and decreased per capita land availability, the users face choice of whether to remain in farming or quit it. At the same time seasonal outmigration has affected demographic composition in rural areas. It also put pressure on the time availability to contribute on collective activities. The ultimate effect could be on the state of the irrigation infrastructure.

In Pakistan, the underlying objective of British policy to bring indigenous systems under state control to introduce and new class of elites and control natives weakened the relationship between users and providers on one hand; and providers and infrastructure on the other hand due to poor understanding of infrastructure to providers (Box 3.1). The continued control of FMIS by natives maintained dynamic role of infrastructure providers in changing biophysical and resource context.

3.3.3 Change in infrastructure and water appropriation

Water distribution rules have evolved over time in indigenous irrigation systems. These rules help reduce unpredictability of irrigation and ensure collective action in operation and maintenance of the systems. The situation where rules have been created by power play and intention to hold local resources (Box 3.1 case study); resulted in legal injunctions and weakened system wide equity and efficiency.

The construction of permanent concrete structures and strong headwork without consulting customary rights and indigenous wisdom result in larger upstream control (imbalance in power among resource users), fixation of location along the system and water turns (the tail enders will always be getting left over from the flood as in earlier pattern the indivertible flood water by local structures was used by tail enders without waiting for their turn from main canal) and changed maintenance burden (the upstream users have less need for downstream labor).

The canal networks in previously riparian spate areas have brought parts of the command areas under Indus-canals networks with assured supply of water from the Indus tributary (example of Chashma Right Bank Canal project which pass North-South through East-West flowing spates and irrigate previously downstream spate areas). The upstream irrigators are facing difficulty and higher per unit cost due to diversion of same floods with less community of irrigators than before construction of downstream canal infrastructure.

Spate irrigation systems in Pakistan have several examples of infrastructural intervention affecting irrigation rights and local institutions. One such example is Rehanzai Bund (FAO 2010) where the technically successful permanent diversion in area with considerable power differential between upland and lowland communities accompanied by absence of effective enforcement authority lead to inequity and migration of downstream farmers abandoning the settlement and farming. Similarly one permanent weir developed at spate command areas of Anambar Plains in Balochistan province of Pakistan without giving due consideration to local water customs. The powerful communities upstream and downstream lead to tension among communities and ultimate resolution of conflict by mutual consent of blowing up the disputed weir (Steenbergen 1997).

The cases show that the physical infrastructural interventions initiated from producers immediately affect the rules and customs part of infrastructure, which in turn affect the users by creating inequalities and putting one group at advantageous position at the cost of others. The resulted loss in collective action leads to reversal to the initial infrastructure or higher work burden and cost which may lead to abandoning farming in a systems providing sustainable livelihoods previously and irrigating lands for centuries.

3.4 Responses to the disturbances

It is important to understand how irrigation systems [and farmers in particular] deal with disturbances to their system; and cope with scarcity, competition and conflict situations as a result of such disturbances. The role of governance structures and institutions in mediating such disturbances and maintain the robustness of the irrigation system is the major concern. Thus in this section we discuss how irrigation systems respond to the particular disturbances.

3.4.1 Institutional responses

In this sub-section we assess 'How the autonomy of SES affect on robustness; are the highly autonomous systems able to respond firmly to the shocks and disturbances; if so why?' We also try to discuss 'How forces of change (external and internal disturbances

as discussed in section 3.2 and 3.3) interact with existing patterns or forces of continuity, and how far irrigation systems are successful to respond to such forces by filtering out undesirable traits (Axinn and Axinn, 1997).

Earlier we noted that majority of the sampled irrigation systems in Nepal were managed by farmers. And Water users' associations (WUAs) are community organizations responsible for the management of irrigation systems. The FMIS were initiated and are being managed by farmers themselves for long periods through WUAs. WUAs in FMIS have high degree of autonomy than the AMIS. Autonomy means the freedom of water users to design rules for water allocation and to design collective choice making mechanisms. Higher the degree of autonomy of the irrigation systems, the more will be the recognition of the users' rights to organize without external interference. The result showed that highly autonomous WUAs in FMIS also satisfy the conditions as mentioned in the design principles (Ostrom 1990) that characterize robust self-governing CPR institutions. Thus the highly autonomous FMIS those satisfy the conditions as discussed in the design principles are more robust compared to AMIS. Here, the robustness was defined on the basis of satisfying the institutional conditions and the autonomy of the WUAs. The robustness is reflected in their capacity to respond firmly to the disturbances. For example, in case of many FMIS they are capable of generating quick response from the users to fix the infrastructure in case of damage due to flash floods. It was mostly due to well-defined rules, roles and responsibilities, and most importantly well enforced monitoring mechanisms.

In many cases social capital and networks play important part. The role of local leadership is crucial in dealing with external shocks. In most of the FMIS of Nepal, the head of WUA is generally the experienced farmers, who based on his/her experience in farming and water management play important role in dealing with the problems at system level. Moreover, the WUAs of FMIS are federated at different level in Nepal. It provides them the opportunity for mutual learning and, thus, to deal with uncertainties.

In spate irrigation systems under community control, the tribal elder is usually most respected person in the community and mediate all sorts of disputes with consultation of other tribal elders. The key post in the committee is that of a mason (locally known as *maimar* or *patti dar*) as he is the most experienced and considered as expert in spate diversion structures. His assignments include estimation of work needed in a flood season, guiding labor for construction, monitoring water distribution according to customs. His witness honored most in case of water disputes. The appointment contract is renewed on yearly basis and remuneration is in the form of fixed proportion of produce from land of each member. His impartiality is ensured through yearly extension of his appointment and his interest with overall higher productivity across the system as his remuneration is attached with overall production rather than giving benefit to selected few. The AMIS, have replaced mason with a state appointed lower cadre official. His interest is in overestimation of costs for repair and biased monitoring so as to make alliance with some farmers and get money in bribe. Due to permanent nature of job, any complaint can lead to a maximum of transfer which is sometimes a desired

objective of the officials due to transfer from remotely located spate systems to richer canal irrigation systems.

3.4.2 Rules and allocation practices

The focus of this subsection is on to discuss 'What sorts of rules and allocation practices are in place to deal with the complexity created by the nature of public infrastructure?' In this sub-section we discuss about various kinds of rules and the enforcement mechanisms.

Operational level rules establish the operational level action and specify rights and responsibilities to the users. The operational level actions, in turn, interact with the physical attributes of the resource to produce outcomes array from sustainable use of the resource to its complete destruction. Operational rules to manage the common pool resources are grouped into seven categories (Ostrom, 2005): position, boundary, choice, aggregation, information, payoff and scope rules. We also followed the same categories for analysis. Description of each type of rule is presented in Table 4.

Rules	Description
Position	The position rules define the set of possible positions for participants.
Boundary	The boundary rules define; eligibility to enter a position, the process
	determining which eligible participants may (or must) enter the position,
	and the process of exit (may or must) from any position
Choice	Choice rules guide which action is required or forbidden. It specifies that
(allocation)	any participant occupying particular position must, must not, or may do
	certain action
Aggregation	The aggregation rules are related to decision process which determines
	whether a single participant or a group of participant can decide about
	any action
Information	The information rules directly affect the level of information available to
	the participants involved in action situation
Payoff	Payoff rules provide provisions for rewards, burdens or sanctions being
	involved in particular action situation
Scope	This rule is directly related to the outcome which must, must not, or may
	be affected by the result of actions taken by participants within specific
	situation
<u> </u>	0005

 Table 4
 Seven types of operational level rules in irrigation management

Source: Ostrom, 2005

The comparison shows that the rules evolve in different ways in resources of different nature and with variation in management. If certain type of rule is present in our case study systems we denote bye 'Y' (Yes), and if absence we denote by 'N' (No) for comparison (Table 5). The list of the rules presented in the table is not the exhaustive

list of all operational rules. We present only the most commonly used and known rules to the farmers.

Table 5	Comparison	of operational	rules in two	management	regimes
					- 3

Rules type and rules	Pakistan		Nepal	
	FMIS	AMIS	FMIS	AMIS
Position Rules				
Does position of water Monitor	Y	N	N	Y
Poundary Pulsa				
Boundary Rules		V	NI	
rights from outside community	IN	Y	IN	-
authoritios2				
Are water rights fixed with land	N	V	V	V
and transfer together in land		1	1	1
documents?				
Choice (Allocation) Rules				
Is the allocation procedure fixed	N	Y	Y	Y
(fixed time slots etc)?			-	
Is the sequence of irrigation	N	Y	Y	Y
fixed?				
Aggregation Rules	1		L	
Is monitoring community's own	Υ	Ν	Y	N
responsibility?				
Monitors selected solely by	Y	N	Y	N
community				
Users strictly follow mutually	Y	N	Y	N
agreed and understood rules				
Information Rules				
Community elected members	Y	N	Y	N
inform about input contribution				
Is record maintained for labor	Y	Y	Y	N
contribution?				
Pay off Rules	1			
Does the punishment always	N	Y	N	Ν
start with monetary penalties?				
Are the decisions for infraction	N	Y	N	Y
and conflicts decided outside				
community?				
Is the proposed punishment	N	Υ	N	Y
implemented by outside				
community agencies?				

Rules type and rules	Pakistan		Nepal	
	FMIS	AMIS	FMIS	AMIS
Scope rules				
Are the crops cultivated as mentioned in irrigation rights documents?	Y	N	Y	Ν

In case of Nepal, WUAs in FMIS have greater autonomy to make and enforce the rules. In FMIS users can devise rules based on their interest and necessity, and manage rules themselves. The experienced committee members in FMIS formulate rules based on interest and necessity of the users. But in AMIS agency involvement in drafting rules is high. Similarly, FMIS are far better than AMIS in monitoring and sanctioning arrangements as well. The rule enforcement mechanisms are well institutionalized in case of FMIS. In addition, in FMIS a majority of the users follow the rules whereas in AMIS substantial levels of violations were found in more than half of the irrigation systems. The higher the proportion of rule violators and higher level of violations, the greater is the chance of less collective action. Ultimately it affects the smooth operation and management of irrigation systems and their capacity to deal with disturbances.

3.4.3 Coping strategies

In this sub-section we focus on 'How the irrigation systems cope with general shocks or whether they fail to do so?' In sections 3.2 and 3.3 we looked on how the irrigation systems face with external as well as internal disturbances. Some of such disturbances may even be helpful to improve the system performance as well. But most of the external or internal disturbances often increase the vulnerability of the systems to maintain its characteristics and services. The capacity to cope with such disturbances depends on the sensitiveness of the irrigation systems to small changes in infrastructure and biophysical context.

The external disturbances mainly affected the availability of the irrigation water in time often resulting into less water or no water situation due to low rainfall or damage in irrigation infrastructure. In such situation, irrigation systems tend to develop different coping strategies to deal with the disturbances. In Nepal, in majority of the irrigation systems we studied, farmers have alternative management strategies to cope with water scarcity resulted due to external factors such as delayed rainfall or damage in intake structures or canal. It helps to reduce potential for conflict. This was particularly apparent in the old, but flexible farmer-managed systems. They try to adjust allocation based on resource condition and demand situations. Farmers agree to allocate water only to those areas [mainly near to the water source, that is head-end areas] that is possible to cover by the available water. Farmers who get the water contribute more resources to fix the problem earlier possible if it was due to damage in the system infrastructure. Farmers in other areas [normally the tail-end users] plant other alternate crops. But in AMIS we did not find any such examples.

It shows the crucial role of local institutions that, in some cases, also help to adjust the farmers with the new context. For example, in Pakistan normally medium-sized flow is the ideal condition for spate farming but the changed rainfall pattern [frequency and intensity] has heavily disturbed the floods flow pattern. Heavier and smaller flows have become common. In the changed context, the reliance of spate farming is now shifted to small flows and institutions are adjusted to new flow patterns. FMIS has adopted new rules: irrigation turns determined through draw system for each season and custom of irrigating one field in a sequence in non-perennial flows, and fixed time for given land along with rotating irrigation turn in case of perennial irrigation systems. But the fixation of rules, in AMIS has failed to adjust to changed flow patterns and head to tail irrigation system or fixed sequence and quantity of irrigation has put the tail-end farmer at disadvantage.

In the irrigation systems, which mainly rely upon rainfall; the rainfall variability can be easily detected in the form of production variability as well as in the form of risk-averse livelihoods and coping strategies evolved over time among the local communities. The coping strategies can be grouped into three categories: *ex-ante* such as risk-tolerant varieties, diversification of farming and other livelihood enterprises; *during season* adjustments in response to specific climatic shocks; and *ex-post* risk management options to minimize impacts of adverse shocks on livelihoods (Cooper et al, 2008). The way people cope these uncertainties and whether the uncertainties become a risk to their production and livelihood systems depend upon many factors including the social capital and capacity to organize collectively.

In the sampled irrigation systems of Pakistan and Nepal the uncertainties arise both from inherent physical features, external disturbances as well from policy changes. However, the communities have been using various coping strategies to combat such uncertainties (Table 6).

moonan	onio al community lovoi	
Uncertainties	Impacts on production and	Coping strategy at
inherent to irrigation	livelihood systems	community/system level
systems		
Droughts	Years without crops, Shift to	Drought resistant local
	minor crops, Food shortfalls	varieties and technologies,
		Cereals exchange, provision of
		loans and help among
		communities
Normal weather (not	Low productivity, Low returns,	Selection crops and variety
the same as "ideal"	fluctuating cultivated area	depending on weather, Ability
weather rather	_	to promote collective action to
include anticipated		best utilize scarce water for
cyclical fluctuations)		maximum benefits by efficient
· · · · · ·		application techniques and low
		delta crops/varieties inclusion

Table 6	Uncertainties with production and livelihood systems and coping
	mechanisms at community level

		in cropping systems
Changes in policy	Impact local institutions and	Collective actions and linkages
	collective action	for favorable policy
Reduced	Outmigration and impact on	Livelihood diversification and
competitiveness due	local institutions leading to less	employment generation,
to non-farm	labor supply and loss of	collective action to exploit the
opportunities	system functioning and	emerging market potential i.e.
	productivity	Organic farming, medicinal
		crops etc.

The coping strategies in response to these uncertainties vary both in terms of scale as well as timing. Such uncertainties need separate coping strategies for plant level to community level as well as with the stage of incidence of such events (Table 7). The coping strategies at farm/household level to the system level may vary. The local communities have been showing resilience to such well-known uncertainties since centuries; but the emerging threats to these systems are from rapid economic changes and have posed a threat to the existence of these indigenous systems.

Scale	Ex-ante	During the season	Ex-post				
Plant	Stress/drought	Replanting with early	-				
	tolerant varieties	maturing varieties					
Plot	Planting density,	Reduced crop density,	Failed plots				
	mixed cropping,	Decision about	grazing by				
	moisture conservation	cultivation	animals, fodder				
			without reaching				
			harvesting stage				
Farm	Crops diversification,	Shifting crops across	Late planting for				
	cropping pattern	the plots	fodder and forages				
System level	Livelihood	Collective action and	Assets sales for				
	diversification, cereal	reciprocity to minimize	cereals purchase				
	stocks, social and off-	losses, internal	and outmigration				
	farm employment	borrowing of money and					
	networks, livestock	cereals from better of					
	assets	households and villages					

 Table 7
 Scale and timing of interventions

4 Discussion: Robustness of the irrigation systems

The analysis of external and internal disturbances, and the responses in the changes circumstances provided the interesting facts on changes in the characteristics of resource and public structure, changes in different aspects of resource users and the role of public infrastructure providers. In this section we analyze the linkages and interactions among various entities of the irrigation system, as a social ecological system. The changed role of resource users and public infrastructure providers (human components of the Anderies et al, 2004 framework) resulted in the form of variation in

operational-level rules and variation in interventions in the form of structural improvements of systems. The interaction among the entities of the core subsystems of SES (Table 8) provides the hints on difference in robustness due to variation in capacity to cope challenges associated with these systems. The inherent uncertainty in these systems has been a major threat and will be further aggravated due to climate change and preparedness of systems under two different management regimes with variation in resource uncertainty.

 Table 8
 Entities of irrigated social ecological system and linkages among entities

	Major Threats to	Issues related to selected systems from Pakistan		Issues related to selected systems from Nepal	
Entities/linkages	the irrigation systems	AMIS	FMIS	AMIS	FMIS
Resource	Rainfall patterns; floods intensity and frequency	Uncertainty on availability of the water	Uncertainly in water availability, but flexible rules to allocate water	Low reliability and uncertainty in availability of the water	Less reliable water availability in some situation but flexible rules to allocate
Resource users	Outside interference in rules	Since British times; the scope for outside agencies and courts has increased	Attached to customary practices followed by flexible and reactive water rights	Users have limited role in rule formation	High autonomy in forming rule based on the need
Public Infrastructure	High failure rates of engineering structures due to design challenges and unanticipated flood intensities; irrigation customs and laws	Engineering designs don't fit for flood irrigation systems	Structures build with local material have flexibility to build due to low cost	Infrastructure do not match with traditional systems that were prevalent in the same area; costly and difficult to replace when damage	Simple and flexible structure using locally available materials, easy to re- build in case of damage
Public Infrastructure Providers	Insufficient capacity to operate the infrastructure in the changes situation	Engineers lack training in managing flood irrigation and fail by using available structural designs for perennial canals	Local people have long experience on spate farming and are more able to adjust with changing flow condition	Agency officials lack clear understanding of local situation and local need	Same people as resource users and so have better knowledge to operate the canal infrastructure
Between resource and resource users	Changing rainfall patterns, variation in available water and changing use pattern	Fixed rules implemented by state have exacerbated the situation of coping unusual climate events	Reactive water rights have potential to cope climatic change induced rainfall patterns	Not enough attention and capacity to deal with the shocks and changing demand	Fast and collective response capacity, alternate to deal with demand
Between resource users and public infrastructure	Declining deliberation process and weak	Conflicting state and community laws;	Pressure from external climatic and market	Low resource contribution for O&M, poor condition, free-	Climatic variability, competition due to marke

External disturbances most often affected the public infrastructure and resource characteristics directly affecting the water availability. At the same time the interventions by public infrastructure providers' in the form of changed rules at constitutional choice level have impacted operational level rules through changed role of actors at collective choice arenas mostly in AMIS. In such situation resource users in case of AMIS, in both countries, were not willing to contribute in maintaining the resource systems mainly due to the inflexible rules. The lack of maintenance further aggravated the capacity of infrastructure to cope the damage by floods. The FMIS, on the other hand, also faced the external disturbances but the flexible rules and better monitoring mechanism help keep the functioning intact by providing fast collective response.

Similarly, as a result of market forces there was competing resource use and increased demand for the water resulting into conflict in many cases. It resulted into reduced collective action in case of FMIS also. But the FMIS has shown the robustness to adjust with the effects of external factors. The flexible rules, autonomous WUAs and local institutions provided the capacity to self-govern and maintain the robustness of their irrigation systems.

5 Conclusion and implications

Natural events as a result of climatic variability and change, policy changes and market pressure are the major external disturbances affecting core entities of the framework at varying degree. Among these disturbances, the natural events seem to have universally negative affects due to its uncertain nature. The vulnerability of the irrigation systems to those disturbances also depends on the sensitiveness to small changes in infrastructure and biophysical context. The FMIS with flexible rules and better collective action seems to have better adaptive capacity to adapt with the disturbances caused by natural events. It shows the robustness of FMIS compared to AMIS.

The policy changes, on the other hand, have both positive and negative effects. The policy change brought realizing the experiences at community level and devised considering customary rights and local institutions have positive outcomes in the form of strengthened public infrastructure and the providers (cases from Nepal). The imposed policy from outside and created to shift authority from farmers to outside agency weakened the relationship between providers and public infrastructure as noticed in Pakistan. The negative effects are felt from rules violation, worsening resource condition including inefficient use (case study from Pakistan) and conflicts among users.

The market pressure have multidimensional effects in the form of tendency to use more water by some at the cost of others and also in the inter-sectoral competition causing shift in manpower from agriculture to other employment and investment opportunities. The internal disturbances in the form of management changes of WUAs affected the irrigation systems in different ways. External interference was always dominant in AMIS and thereby affecting the public infrastructure providers. In some cases FMIS also fell into the trap of local selfish politicians and local elites who often wanted to use the CPR

in their personal or group benefits. Seasonal outmigration of the people also affected the collective action.

Irrigation systems dealt with external disturbances in various ways. Governance structure and local institutions were found crucial in deal with the disturbances. Mostly, highly autonomous FMIS were able to adjust with the changing situation. High autonomy provided them the opportunity to adjust their institutional conditions according as the changed context. It in turn enhanced their adaptive capacity making them capable of generating rapid response to the external shocks and maintains the robustness of their system. Existence of various forms of rule and better compliance by the users was another important aspect in FMIS. But in AMIS rule formation was mainly done by the agencies being it ineffective in implementation. But in many FMIS the centuries old local rules prevailed and new rules were also formed based on the community needs. The irrigation systems showed their robustness in the form of diversity of rules to different situations, stronger institution of local leaders, and adoption of coping strategies to match with uncertainty in irrigation.

Analysis of institutional diversity and responses in diverse settings was the main focus of this paper. We analyzed robustness and dynamics of irrigation systems considering the variation in governance and nature of irrigation resource. We realize that a complete analysis of entities involved in a system is necessary before making any policy solution and institutional restructuring to improve system performance (Ostrom 2009; Ostrom et al 2007). The detail analysis of rule formation process and application to deal with external shocks would provide further insight for irrigation water management.

Acknowledgements

Nepal component of this paper was supported by a grant from the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (Grant Number: HKU7233/03H) made to the University of Hong Kong on the project "Asian Irrigation Institutions and Systems (AIIS) Dynamics study and Database Management". Pakistan component was supported by Higher Education Commission (HEC) Pakistan. CSID-ASU supported our visits to CSID in many occasions, which is duly acknowledged. The kind supervision and comments of Prof. G.P. Shivakoti are highly acknowledged.

References

Agrawal, A. 1999. Greener Pastures: Politics, Markets, and Community among a Migrant Pastoral People. Durham NC: Duke University Press.

- Agrawal, Arun, and Gautam N. Yadama. 1997. How do local institutions Mediate Market and Population Pressures on Resources? Forest Panchayats in Kumaon, India. *Development and Change*, 28 (3). pp. 435-465.
- Anderies, J. M., M. A. Janssen, and E. Ostrom. 2004. A framework to analyze the robustness of social-ecological systems from an institutional perspective. Ecology and Society, 9(1): online: <u>http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol9/iss1/</u>
- Araral. E. 2009. What explains collective action in the commons? Theory and evidence from the Phillippines. *World Development* 37. pp. 687-697.
- Axinn, G.H. and N.W. Axinn. 1997. Colaboration in Institutional Rural Development: A practical Handbook. Sage publication.
- Baker, J.M. 2005. The Kuhls of Kangra. Community-managed irrigation in the Western Himalaya. Permanent Black publishers, New Delhi.
- Barker, R., and F. Molle. 2005. Perspectives on Asian Irrigation. In G. P. Shivakoti, D. Vermillion, W. F. Lam, E. Ostrom, U. Pradhan, and R. Yoder, eds. Asian Irrigation in Transition: responding to the Challenges. New Delhi: Sage Publications. pp 45-78
- Bastakoti, R.C., G.P.Shivakoti, & Lebel, L. 2010 Local irrigation management institutions mediate changes driven by external policy and market pressures in Nepal and Thailand. *Environmental Management*, 46. pp.411–423.
- Cooper, P.M.J., J. Dimes., Rao, K.P.C., Shapiro, B., Shiferaw, B. and Twomlow, S. 2008. Coping better with climatic variability in the rain-fed farming systems of sub-Saharan Africa: An essential first step in adapting to future climate change? Agriculture and Ecosystem Environment.
- FAO. 2007. The future of large rice-based irrigation systems in Southeast Asia Proceedings of the regional workshop on the future of large rice-based irrigation systems in Southeast Asia, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam 26-28 October 2005. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations office Bangkok, Thailand.
- FAO. 2010, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Guidelines for spate irrigation. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 65.
- Gazetteer of the Dera Ghazi Khan District. 1893-97. Sang-e-Meel Publishers, Lahore, Pakistan.

- Janssen, M.A., T.A. Kohler and Scheffer, M. 2003. Sunk-cost effects and vulnerability to collapse in ancient societies. Current Anthropology Vol. 44. pp. 722–728.
- Kamran, M.A. and G.P. Shivakoti, 2010. Robustness, vulnerability and adaptive capacity in social ecological systems: the case of spate irrigation systems in Pakistan. In Sustainable Irrigation Management Technologies and Policies III. Eds. C.A. Brebbia, A.M. Marinov and H. Bjonlund. WIT Press. Southompton, Boston. pp. 63-73.
- Lam, W. F. 1998. Governing Irrigation Systems in Nepal: Institutions, Infrastructures, and Collective Action, Oakland, CA: ICS Press.
- Lam, W. F. 2001. Coping with change: A study of local irrigation institutions in Taiwan. *World Development*, 29(9). pp.1569 1592.
- Ostrom, E. 1990. Governing the Commons. The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Actions. (Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- Ostrom, E. 2009. A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems. Science. Vol. 325.
- Ostrom, E. and Ahn, T.K. 2003. Foundations of Social Capital. Cheltenham. U.K.
- Ostrom, E., Gardner, R. and Walker, J. 1994. Rules, Games, and Common Pool-Resources. The University of Michigun Press.
- Ostrom, E., M. Janssen, and J. Anderies. 2007 Going Beyond Panaceas. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 104(39): 15176–15178. Ostrom, E. 1990. Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action: Cambridge University Press.
- Scheffer, M., S. Carpenter, J.A. Foley, C. Folke, and B. Walker. 2001. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. *Nature*, 413. pp. 591–596.
- Shah, S. G. and G. N. Singh. 2000. Irrigation Development in Nepal: Investment, Efficiency and Institution. Winrock International Research Report Series No. 47. Kathmandu, Nepal:Winrock International.

- Shivakoti, G. P., and R. C. Bastakoti. 2006. The robustness of Montane irrigation systems of Thailand in a dynamic human-water resources interface. *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 2. Pp. 227-247.
- Shivakoti, G.P. 2005. Farmers managed irrigation systems and governance alternatives. Proceedings of the Third international seminar held on 9-10 September 2004 at Kathmandu Nepal. Farmer Managed Irrigation Systems Promotion Trust, Kathmandu Nepal.
- Shivakoti, G.P., D. Vermillion, W. F. Lam, E. Ostrom, U. Pradhan, and R. Yoder, eds. 2005. Asian Irrigation in Transition: responding to the Challenges. New Delhi: Sage Publications. pp 45-78.
- Steenbergen, F. V. (1997). Understanding the Sociology of Spate Irrigation in Balochistan, Journal of Arid Environment, Vol. 35, pp. 349-365.
- Thornton, P. K., P. G. Jones, T. Owiyo, R. L. Kruska, M. Herrero, P. Kristjanson, A. Notenbaert, N. Bekele and A. Omolo, 2006. Mapping climate vulnerability and poverty in Africa. Report to the Department for International Development, ILRI, PO Box 30709, Nairobi 00100, Kenya.